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WHAT IT IS

Devocalization (i.e. debarking or devoicing) is a surgical procedure, termed ventriculocordectomy, which involves removal of the vocal cords via an oral or ventral (laryngotomy) approach.¹,²

THE ISSUE

Devocalization may be performed on dogs to decrease the volume, pitch and intensity of the dog’s bark. The procedure has variable success and is associated with some risks that are supported by published case studies. It is not known how many dogs undergo this procedure, but the numbers are thought to be small.³ Ventriculocordectomy is described in small animal surgery texts primarily for therapeutic purposes, such as laryngeal paralysis and to remove vocal fold masses.¹,²

Between 3.2 and 7% of dogs seen by veterinary behavioral practices are evaluated for excessive barking,⁴,⁵ but these numbers may underestimate the prevalence of this problem. In one survey almost 13% of owners identified this as a concern.⁵

REASONS GIVEN FOR THE PRACTICE

Animal benefits — If behavioral and management interventions have failed to reduce barking, performing the procedure may prevent relinquishment or euthanasia. Human benefits — Reduced noise pollution and damage to human hearing in large kennel facilities, less annoyance from dogs that bark excessively, fewer complaints regarding barking dogs, and increased compliance with stringent noise ordinances in some communities (which if violated could lead to eviction or legal action) have all been cited as reasons for devocalizing dogs.
WELFARE CONCERNS

Barking is a normal canine behavior.6 Auditory cues supplement visual and postural cues as a means of communication, especially if visual cues are obscured. Dogs may bark in play, as a greeting, as a warning, to gain attention, and while working (e.g., driving cattle).4,7 When barking is considered excessive and becomes a problem is highly variable among owners and influenced by many factors.

Reasons for excessive barking may include poor training, boredom, social isolation, response to external stimuli, territorial protection, and behavioral problems, including anxiety, compulsive disorder and separation anxiety.4,8 Devocalization reduces the noise associated with barking, but not the motivation or behavior.

RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH DEVOCAZALIZATION

General anesthesia — Devocalization is carried out under general anesthesia, which itself has inherent risks and associated mortality.9
Post-operative discomfort — As for any surgical procedure, pain and discomfort can occur during healing.
Potential complications — Bleeding, acute airway swelling, infection and aspiration pneumonia can occur after devocalization. There is a substantial risk for development of laryngeal web formation and glottis stenosis (narrowing of the throat) after laryngeal surgery.2,10,11,12,13 Clinical signs resulting from laryngeal web formation include exercise intolerance, dyspnea (respiratory distress), stridor (noisy breathing), collapse and heat intolerance;10 affected dogs require further surgical intervention to correct the problem.

TECHNIQUES FOR DECREASING BARKING

Identification of the underlying cause of excessive barking is essential so that targeted therapies can be used and requires a carefully taken behavioral history.4,8 Corrective techniques may include environmental manipulation, behavioral modification, medication or combinations of these therapies.4,8

Punishment may inhibit a behavior but does not change the motivation. Hitting and yelling are ineffectual and unacceptable. Several remote forms of punishment that are intended to startle a dog rather than to inflict physical pain have been used in an attempt to decrease barking. These include antibarking devices that emit loud or high-pitched sounds (collars or freestanding devices) and electric shock collars (activated by the dog or remotely by the owner). The citronella spray collar is considered a form of punishment, but is more acceptable to many people and is used where shocking devices are prohibited (e.g. Australia, Europe).4 In one study, the citronella spray collar was more effective than an electric shock collar at reducing barking (88.9 versus 44.4% decrease, respectively) and was perceived as more humane by owners.14 To prevent habituation, intermittent use of a citronella collar has been suggested.15
In large kennel facilities noise levels can be successfully reduced by well thought out building and pen design, altering husbandry techniques and using suitable socialization and training programs.\textsuperscript{16}

**LEGISLATION AND POLICY**

The American Kennel Club states that “debarking is a viable veterinary procedure that may allow a dog owner to keep a dog that barks excessively in its loving home rather than to be forced to surrender it to a shelter. Debarking should only be performed by a qualified, licensed veterinarian after other behavioral modification efforts to correct excessive barking have failed. As with other veterinary medical decisions, the decision to debark a dog is best left to individual owners and their veterinarians.”\textsuperscript{17}

In addition to the AVMA, many veterinary organizations including the American Animal Hospital Association\textsuperscript{18} and the Canadian Veterinary Medical Association\textsuperscript{19} oppose devocalization of dogs except after behavioral modifications and management methods have failed and as a final alternative to relinquishment or euthanasia. Under the United Kingdom’s Animal Welfare Act (2006), “carrying out of a procedure which involves interference with the sensitive tissues or bone structure of the animal, otherwise than for the purpose of its medical treatment” is an offense.\textsuperscript{20} The European Convention for the Protection of Pet Animals lists devocalization under the list of surgical operations that “for the purpose of modifying the appearance of a pet animal or for other non-curative purposes shall be prohibited.”\textsuperscript{21}

The British Veterinary Association Animal Welfare Foundation (BVAAWF)/Fund for the Replacement of Animals In Medical Experiments (FRAME)/Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (RSPCA)/Universities Federation for Animal Welfare (UFAW) Joint Working Group on Refinement (dog husbandry and care) view debarking as unacceptable and describe many other ways to reduce noise in kennels.\textsuperscript{16}

There are currently four states that have laws prohibiting devocalization of dogs under certain circumstances. Massachusetts and New Jersey prohibit devocalization except in cases where it is medically necessary as determined by a licensed veterinarian. Pennsylvania prohibits devocalization of any dog for any reason unless the procedure is performed by a licensed veterinarian using anesthesia.\textsuperscript{22} Legislation in Ohio states that “No person shall do any of the following: Debark or surgically silence a dog that the person knows or has reason to believe is a vicious dog; or possess a vicious dog if the person knows or has reason to believe that the dog has been debarked or surgically silenced.”\textsuperscript{23}

**SUMMARY**

Devocalization is a surgical procedure with potential for complications. Environmental manipulation, behavioral modification and medication are often successful for reducing barking, but identification of the underlying cause of excessive barking is important for tailoring treatment in each situation.
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